

MSPO CERTIFICATION AUDIT PUBLIC SUMMARY REPORT

MSPO 2530-3:2013

INITIAL CERTIFICATION: 2024

MANAGEMENT UNIT

WINTRIP SUB-CON SDN BHD

EMPELANJAU ESTATE PHASE 1

MAIN OFFICE: LOT 39, NO 449, 2ND FLOOR, HEIGHTS DRIVE COMMERCIAL CENTER, JALAN
STUTONG, 93350, KUCHING, SARAWAK.

SITE: NCR KAMPUNG EMPELANJAU, LACHAU, PANTU [PHASE 1], 95000, SRI AMAN,
SARAWAK.

CLIENT ID: KRMC07

SSM REG NO: 671411-P

REPORT NO: KRC070324

INITIAL CERTIFICATION DATE: 16 03 2024

CERTIFICATION BODY



ACB 064

KEBAL RESOURCES SDN BHD

SUBLOT 7, NO 387, LOT 17821, 2ND FLOOR, STAR POINT ANGLE
COMMERCIAL CENTER, JALAN STUTONG, 93350, KUCHING,
SARAWAK.

Mobile: +6 017 713 8188

Email: kebalresource@gmail.com

Website: www.kebalresources.com.my



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	PAGES
1.	CERTIFICATION ASSESSMENT INFORMATION	3
2.	MANAGEMENT UNIT	3 - 4
3.	ASSESSMENT PROCESS	4 - 7
4.	SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS	7 - 11
5.	OFFICIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSESSMENT FINDINGS	11
6.	CERTIFICATION DECISION	12 - 13
7.	APPENDIX / ATTACHMENTS	14 - 15

**1. CERTIFICATION ASSESSMENT****1.1. Type of Assessment:** **Initial Certification – Stage 2 Audit** Annual Surveillance Audit Year 1 Annual Surveillance Audit Year 2 Annual Surveillance Audit Year 3 Annual Surveillance Audit Year 4 Recertification**1.2. Scope of MSPO Certification**

Part 3 Provision of Oil Palm Plantation management, operations and production including planting, harvesting, and delivery of Fresh Fruit Bunches [FFB] from **404.80** Hectares of Certified Area

1.3. MSPO Standards **MS2530:3.:2013****1.4. Geographical Location**

Geo Coordinates:

N1.151000, E111.207500

*Map: Refer Appendix A & B on page 14 & 15***2. MANAGEMENT UNIT****2.1. Introduction**

[WSCSB] Wintrip Sub-Con Sdn Bhd is an oil palm estates developer and manager of Empelanjau Estate Phase 1 [EEP1]; and WSCSB has prominent presence in Pantu District, Sri Aman. The business model adopted by WSCSB to develop all its estates in the Pantu District is through a “KONTRAK TANAMAN”, translated as “PLANTING CONTRACT”; an agreement which is mutually and legally enforceable by both parties to the Contract [NCR Landowners & WSCSB]. Prior to signing the “KONTRAK TANAMAN”, WSCSB had obtained FPIC [free and prior informed consent] from the NCR Landowners on use of land for planting. Tenure of the “KONTRAK TANAMAN” is 60 years [deemed as 2 planting cycle for oil palm cultivation].

EEP1 was established in July, 2021. Its location [in relation to other nearby town & administrative centres] is about 7.8 km from Lachau Town, 25 km from Pantu Town, 57 km from Sri Aman Town, 154 km from Kuching City respectively.

EE currently conduct its daily activities through / from the WSCSB Enchiap estates [sister organization] site office and premise; which is well equipped with satellite communication facilities, diesel skid tank, loading ramp, weighbridges, fertilizer, chemical, schedule waste stores, water storage tanks and staff and workers housing.

In all, EEP1 is a fully functioning oil palm estate operation.

2.2. EMPELANJAU ESTATE, PHASE 1

2.3. SITE: NCR KAMPUNG EMPELANJAU, LACHAU, PANTU [PHASE 1], 95000, SRI AMAN, SARAWAK

MAIN OFFICE: LOT 39, NO 449, 2ND FLOOR, HEIGHTS DRIVE COMMERCIAL CENTER, JALAN STUTONG, 93350, KUCHING, SARAWAK.



2.3.1.	Headcount:	Office Staffs:	Field Staffs:	Total:
		8	15	23
2.3.2.	Date of Establishment:	Land Status:	Topography:	Soil Type
	01 07 2021	NCR	Undulating terrain, mix of flat land and peat land.	Mix of Peat, Mineral soils
2.3.3.	No of Planting Blocks:	Palm Stand Count:	Age Range of Palm Stand:	Planting Material:
	8	46,182	6 months – 1 year	Felda Yangambi

2.4. Management Contact Details

Name:	Position:	Tel No:	Email:
Danny Lim Chung Teck	General Manager	+ 6 013 810 0206	wintrip1@gmail.com

2.5. MPOB License, Scope of Activities

MPOB License No: 6220 1800 2000 Expiry Date: 30 06 2024

Scope of Activities

- Menjual dan Mengalih FFB # Membeli FFB #
 Menjual dan Mengalih FFB, PK, CPO, SPO # Menyimpan PK, CPO, SPO #
 Membeli dan Mengalih FFB # Mengilang FFB #

2.6. Production Area**Part 3**

Certified Area	404.80 Hectares	Planted Area	369.50 Hectares
Mature Area	Immature Area	HCV / Conservation Area	Others
00.00 Hectares	369.50 Hectares	00.00 Hectares	35.30 Hectares

2.7. Production Volume**Part 3**

Item	Actual	2023	Projection	2024
Planted Area	369.50	Hectares	369.50	Hectares
FFB Production	0000.00	MT	0000.00	MT
Yield Per Hectare	00.00	MT	00.00	MT

Note: Crop Immature; production of FFB expected to start in 2025**2.8. MSPO Certificate****Certificate No: KRMC070324**

Initial Certification Date: 16 03 2024 Expiry Date: 15 03 2029

3. ASSESSMENT PROCESS**3.1. Certification Body**

3.1.1. Audit Team Members

1. Wilfred S Landong Lead Auditor

- | | |
|--|--|
| Academic & Professional Qualifications | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adv Dip in Business Mgt, Degree in Marketing, Master of Arts Degree • Successfully completed MSPO Lead Auditor Course in 2015 [Pioneer Batch] and has been MSPO Lead Auditor since. • Conversant [spoken / written] in BM, English and local Sarawak dialects • Qualified Lead Auditor for MSPO Standards MS2530, ISO 9001, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, ISO 17065 • < 8 years + working & hands on leadership & management experience of certification bodies • Expertise in biodiversity conservation & forest management |
| MSPO Auditing Experience | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Had clocked 320+ Mandays as MSPO Auditor |

3.2.	Audit Plan	Geo-Coordinates: N1.151000, E111.207500	No of Mandays: 03
------	------------	---	-------------------

- | | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 3.2.1. | Audit Site Location:
MSPO Standards:
Type of Certification:
No of Sites:
Sampling Method:
Date of Audit: | NCR Kampung Empelanjau, Lachau, Pantu [Phase 1], 95000, Sri Aman, Sarawak.
<input type="checkbox"/> MS2530:2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MS2530-3:2013 <input type="checkbox"/> MS2530:4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual Certification <input type="checkbox"/> Group Certification
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single Site <input type="checkbox"/> Multi Sites No of Sampled Sites: 00
Not applicable – single site Risk Factor / Matrix: 1.5 - medium
Stage 1: 10 – 11 10 2023 Stage 2: 04 – 06 02 2024 |
|--------|---|---|

3.2.2.	Audit Objectives
--------	------------------

- Stage 2 Audit** **The objectives of this Stage 2 Audit are:**
 To evaluate the implementation, including effectiveness of *WSCSB - EMPELANJAU ESTATE PHASE 1 on MS2530-3:2013* Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) documentation. It shall include at least the following:
 - Information and evidence about conformity to all requirements of the applicable management system standard or other normative document;
 - Performance monitoring, measuring, reporting and reviewing against key performance objectives and targets (consistent with the expectations in the applicable management system standard or other normative document);
 - Performance as regards legal compliance;
 - Operational control of the processes;
 - Internal auditing and management review (if applicable);
 - Management responsibility and policies;
 - Links between the normative requirements, policy, performance objectives and targets (consistent with the expectations in the applicable management system standard or other normative document), any applicable legal requirements, responsibilities, competence of personnel, operations, procedures, performance data and internal audit findings and conclusions.

3.2.3. Assessment Methodology

This certification assessment is a SAMPLING process where management systems effectiveness & efficiency are confirmed via an audit trail that the Auditor established to make an accurate conclusion.

- Information gathering
 - o Interviews – management & staffs
- Data collection
 - o Observation of process & activities, using checklists.
 - o Review of documentations & records
 - o Site visits, camera evidence
 - o Field inspections
- Assessment Method
 - Paper**
 - o Assessing past implementations from records, reports of the management system
 - Practice**
 - o Assessing current implementation from observing current practices
 - People**
 - o Assessing future maintenance from interviewing personnel on understanding & assessing competencies

3.3.	Audit Programme
3.3.1.	5 - Five Years Audit Program - MSPO Certification

	Contact Information:			
	Name of Client:	WSCSB EMPELANJAU ESTATE PHASE 1	Client ID: KRMC07	
	Site Address:	NCR Kampung Empelanjau, Lachau, Pantu [Phase 1], 95000, Sri Aman, Sarawak.		
	Contact Person:	Position:	Tel No:	Email:
	Danny Lim Chung Teck	General Manager	+ 6 013 810 0206	wintrip1@gmail.com
	Date of Initial Certification:	16 03 2024	Validity / Expiry Date:	15 03 2029

1.	Stage 1		No of Mandays:	02 [two]
	Plan Audit Date:	10 – 11 10 2023	Actual Audit Date:	10 – 11 10 2023
	Team Leader:	Wilfred S landong	Work Shift:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Night

2.	Stage 2		No of Mandays:	03 [three]
	Plan Audit Date:	February, 2024	Actual Audit Date:	04 – 06 02 2024
	Team Leader:	Wilfred S Landong	Work Shift:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Night
	Peer Reviewer 1:	Hj. Yusuf Nekmat	Peer Reviewer 2:	Tn Ismadi Ismail
	Certifier:	Maxwell S Landong		

3.	Surveillance Audit 1		No of Mandays:	03 [three]
	Note:	Not more than 12 months from Certification Decision Date		



	Plan Audit Date:	February, 2025	Actual Audit Date:	00 00 0000 – 00 00 0000
	Team Leader:		Work Shift:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Night
	Certifier:			

4.	Surveillance Audit 2		No of Mandays:	03 [three]
	Note:	Not more than 12 months after Surveillance 1		
	Plan Audit Date:	February, 2026	Actual Audit Date:	00 00 0000 – 00 00 0000
	Team Leader:		Work Shift:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Night
	Certifier:			

5.	Surveillance Audit 3		No of Mandays:	03 [three]
	Note:	Not more than 12 months after Surveillance 2		
	Plan Audit Date:	February, 2027	Actual Audit Date:	00 00 0000 – 00 00 0000
	Team Leader:		Work Shift:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Night
	Certifier:			

6.	Surveillance Audit 4		No of Mandays:	03 [three]
	Note:	Not more than 12 months after Surveillance 3		
	Plan Audit Date:	February, 2028	Actual Audit Date:	00 00 0000 – 00 00 0000
	Team Leader:		Work Shift:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Night
	Certifier:			

7.	Recertification	Cycle 02	No of Mandays:	03 [three]
	Note:	Within 4 months prior to Certificate Expiry Date		
	Plan Audit Date:	November, 2028	Actual Audit Date:	00 00 0000 – 00 00 0000
	Team Leader:		Work Shift:	<input type="checkbox"/> Day <input type="checkbox"/> Night
	Peer Reviewer 1:		Peer Reviewer 2:	
	Certifier:			

4.	SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS
----	---------------------------------

Audit Findings Classification:

Term	Meaning	Description
YES	Compliance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fulfilled requirement of audited standard
OFI	Opportunity for improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate conformity. There are, however, improvement opportunity identified that will benefit the organization



- NO (minor NC) Minor non conformity
 - Non-compliance to standard requirements or company's SOP; or are issues that when combined, jeopardized the functioning of the system
- NO (major NC) Major non conformity
 - Demonstrate absence or total breakdown of system to meet standard requirement, or a number of minor NC against a clause of standard requirements / at a particular area of last assessment that are not effectively addressed will be classified as major NC.

Table:	Summary of Audit Findings	Audit Stage:	Initial Certification [Stage 1]
No of Findings:	1	Category:	NC Minor

No: 01 Findings Particulars:

Principle: 4 Criterion: 1 Indicator: 4.4.1.1

Description of Process Requirements: Social impact should be identified and plans are implemented to mitigate the negative impacts and promote the positive ones.

Description of Objective Evidence: SIA survey had started but not yet fully documented.

Type of Finding NC Major **NC Minor**

Type of follow up Document Review [Offsite Audit] Onsite Audit

Corrective Action to be completed by: 00 00 0000

Root Cause & Corrective Actions to be taken To be completed by **WSCSB**

Root Cause Analysis: *WSCSB had not documented the SIA earlier as the final hectareage and documentation of Agreement with NCR landowners had not been finalised; FPIC consultation with local communities were also work in progress.*

Corrective Actions to be taken: *To complete the Survey and complete documentation of the SIA Report.*

Note: *The SIA Report was completed in February, 2024; and presented during Stage 2 Audit. This finding had been closed out*

4.1.	Table: 1	Summary of Audit Findings	Audit Stage:	Initial Certification [Stage 2]			
Part 3.	MS2530-3-2013:	General Principles for Oil Palm Plantations [40.46 hectares to 500 Hectares]					
Principles	Requirements		Yes	OFI	NC Minor	NC Major	
			<i>Findings: Type letter X on the appropriate box</i>				
1.	Management Commitment & Responsibilities						
1.1.	Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) Policy		X				
1.2.	Internal audit		X				
1.3.	Management review		X				
1.4.	Continual Improvement		X				
2.	Transparency						
2.1.	Transparency of information and documents relevant to MSPO requirements		X				

2.2.	Transparent method of communication and consultation	X			
2.3.	Traceability	X			
3.	Compliance to Legal Requirements				
3.1.	Regulatory requirements	X			
3.2.	Land use rights	X			
3.3.	Customary land rights	X			
4.	Responsibility to Social, Health, Safety & Employment Conditions				
4.1.	Social Impact Assessment (SIA)	X			
4.2.	Complaints and grievances	X			
4.3.	Commitment to contribute to local sustainable development	X			
4.4.	Employees safety and health	X			
4.5.	Employment conditions	X			
4.6.	Training and competency	X			
5.	Environment, Natural Resources, Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services				
5.1.	Efficiency of energy use and use of renewable energy	X			
5.2.	Waste management and disposal	X			
5.3.	Reduction of pollution and emission			X	
5.4.	Natural water resources	X			
5.5.	Status of rare, threatened, or endangered species and high biodiversity value area	X			
5.6.	Zero burning practices	X			
6.	Best Practices				
6.1.	Site Management	X			
6.2.	Economic and financial viability plan	X			
6.3.	Transparent and fair price dealing	X			
6.4.	Contractor	X			

Table: 2 Summary: No of Audit Findings by Principles					
Part 3.1. MS2530-3:2013: General Principles for Oil Palm Plantations [40.46 hectares to 500 Hectares]					
Principles	Requirements	Yes	OFl	NC Minor	NC Major
1.	Management Commitment & Responsibility	0	0	0	0
2.	Transparency	0	0	0	0
3.	Compliance to Legal Requirements	0	0	0	0
4.	Responsibility to Social, Health, Safety & Employment Conditions	0	0	0	0
5.	Environment, Natural Resources, Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services	0	0	1	0
6.	Best Practices	0	0	0	0
Total No of Findings		0	0	1	0

No: 01 Findings Particulars:

Principle: 5	Criterion: 4	Indicator: 4.5.4.2
Description of Process Requirements:	An action plan to reduce identified significant pollutants and emissions shall be established and implemented.	
Description of Objective Evidence:	Following forms had been established to monitor identified significant pollutants and emissions reduction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Vehicle & Machinerics Servicing Record b. Vehicle & Machinerics Repair & Maintenance Record An assessment of Polluting Activities has been documented. Ref Doc: WSB/MSPO/5.4.1-01 <i>However, the Action Plan had not been documented</i> NC MINOR EMEP1 to document an Action Plan to reduce identified significant pollutants and emissions	
Type of Finding	<input type="checkbox"/> NC Major	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NC Minor
Type of follow up	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Document Review [Offsite Audit]	<input type="checkbox"/> Onsite Audit
Corrective Action to be completed by:	06 06 2024	
Root Cause & Corrective Actions to be taken	To be completed by WSCSB	
Root Cause Analysis:	<i>It was claimed to be an oversight on the part of WSCSB; as reason why Action Plan was not documented.</i>	
Corrective Actions to be taken:	EMEP1 to document an Action Plan to reduce identified significant pollutants and emissions	

4.2. SUMMARY: STAKEHOLDERS CONSULTATION REPORT

A.	Category of Respondents:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees/Workers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Communities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Stakeholders
B.	Consultation Method:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Face to face Meeting	<input type="checkbox"/> Phone Interview	<input type="checkbox"/> Written Review [Mail]
C.	Survey Summary:			
	Questions	Results		Comments
1.	Are these stakeholders registered in the organization's stakeholder list?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	A total of 25 respondents were interviewed; consisting of all categories of main stakeholders of the estate.
2.	Based on input received, are transparency of information between organization and stakeholder justified?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	The respondents generally mentioned they have access to information regarding the estate. Where information required are confidential in nature, the information can be obtained by request form to be endorsed by Estate GM.
3.	Any feedback, complaint or grievances raised by this / these stakeholders before? (If yes, please briefly explain the nature of the feedback / complaint / grievance in Remarks)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	During the initial stage of negotiation and FPIC exercise with NCR landowners [potential participants of TANAMAN KONTRACT], there

				were some disputes on land ownership and boundaries brought up by local communities
4.	If (3) above is Yes, does the organization handle the feedback/ complaint/ grievance appropriately?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	These disputes were resolved before the signing of the TANAMAN KONTRACT; and mediated by the local community leaders and local authorities. Some of the disputes were resolved by alienating those land that are disputed; the landowners continue to freely use their land for own agricultural activities and excluded from participation in the project.
5.	Any land issue being raised during audit against the organization? (If yes, has the issue been, or in progress of being resolved?)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	As above. Issues and disputes have been resolved before development commences.
6.	Any social issue being raised during audit against the organization? (If yes, does the issue been, or in progress of being resolved?)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	There was no social issue raised against the organization. However, the local communities do request to be given employment opportunities in the estate; as many of them are living below poverty level national index; and unemployment is very high among local communities
7.	Any environmental issue being raised during audit against the organization? (If yes, does the issue been, or in progress of being resolved?)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	There were some issues on depletion of aquatic life, pollution in Batang Strap [main natural water source for Empelanjau communities]. However, it is not proven that this is caused by development of the estate. Results of water sampling from river water also do not show any drastic conditions of water quality.
8.	How do you rate the knowledge & understanding of the stakeholders on MSPO?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	The stakeholders have been given Awareness briefing on MSPO by Estate management. Those interviewed have basic understanding on requirements of MSPO; however, continuous training is required to enhance their knowledge.
9.	Are / Is there any suggestion[s] / request[s] from these stakeholders to the organization?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Stakeholders request for employment priority with the estate for appropriate jobs; on full time or contractual b. Request for CSR allocations for road repairs, festive occasions, seedlings, water tanks etc.
10.	Are the issues stated above being brought to the Audit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	These issues are noted in the SIA Report as well as informed by respondents [Village Heads] to the estate management.

D.	Auditor Summary Statement		
	Majority of the respondents welcome the development of their NCR land by WSCSB; this is attested to by their willing participation in signing the TANAMAN KONTRAK. There is concern that development of the estate may give rise to environmental issues [water, river pollution, depletion of natural resources & wildlife]. It is suggested that the Estate Management incorporate mitigation plan / measures into their Social Impact Management Plan; and work with stakeholders on continual improvements. Estate Management may have to consider giving more opportunities to local communities on employment with the estates.		
	Name of Auditor:	Wilfred S Landong	Date of Consultation 10 11 23 & 04 02 24

5. OFFICIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

5.1. Lead Auditor: Summary Statement & Certification Recommendation

Summary Statement: The audit team concludes that the organization had fulfilled and complied with the requirements of the **MS 2530-3:2013** standard and demonstrated the ability of the system to systematically achieve agreed requirements for products or services within the scope, and the organization’s policy and objectives.

It is to be noted that site management of the **WSCSB – EMPELANJAU ESTATE PHASE 1** is well managed and generally well conformed and complied with requirements of the MSPO Standards.


Certification Recommendation: The audit team recommends that, MSPO Certification be MAINTAINED and / or GRANTED to **WSCSB – EMPELANJAU ESTATE PHASE 1**

Name: Wilfred S Landong Date: 25 02 2024

5.2. Management Unit Representative **Acceptance of the Report**

Job Title: General Manager Name: Danny Lim Date: 27 02 2024

This is to acknowledge and confirm the audit visits / assessments described in this Report and the acceptance of the contents and findings in the said Audit Report.



6. CERTIFICATION DECISION

6.1. Peer Reviewers Decision **Date of Review: 10 03 2024** *Applicable for Initial Certification & Recertification only*

Peer Reviewer 1: I have done a complete review of the Report and hereby agree with recommendations of the Lead Auditor on the Certification of Empelanjau Estate Phase 1

HYN No suggestion for improvement after reading the documents. Agreeable with recommendation. The report documented are of high standard.

Peer Reviewer 2: Overall, I agreed with recommendation made by Lead Auditor

ISIS

6.2. CDP: Certifier Decision Name: Maxwell S Landong Date: 15 03 2024

I confirm that I have examined thoroughly all contents of the Report in its' entirety. To the best of my knowledge the information and conclusions included in this report have been prepared in compliance with and fulfilled the Standard's requirements; and done in good faith and that the Lead Auditor recommendations had been based upon these informations.



I, hereby confirm that, the Certification of [WSCSB EMPELANJAU ESTATE PHASE 1](#) under the Standard [MSPO MS2530-3:2013 General Principles for Oil Palm Plantations and Organized Smallholders](#) be GRANTED.

6.3. Certification Approval by Executive Chairman, KRSEB Date: 16 03 2024

KRSEB Management hereby approved of:

- Issuance of the certificate.**
- Issuance of the certificate as soon as implementation of corrective action(s) has been demonstrated.
- Maintenance of the certificate.
- Maintenance of the certificate as soon as implementation of corrective action has been demonstrated.

7.	LIST OF APPENDIX / ATTACHMENTS	
	A. Google Location Map	B. Boundary Map

- END OF REPORT -

